



UK Council for
Graduate Education

RESEARCH SUPERVISORS NETWORK: RESOURCE

THE RESEARCH SUPERVISOR'S BIBLIOGRAPHY

2020 ADDITIONS

Professor Stan Taylor



RESEARCH
SUPERVISORS
NETWORK
UK Council for Graduate Education

1 General

McCulloch, A. (2018)

The disciplinary status of doctoral education.
Higher Education Review, 50(2): 86-104.

2 The Importance of Good Supervision

Baptista, A., Frick, L., Holey, K., Remmik, M., TEsch, J and Akerlind, G. (2015)

The doctorate as an original contribution to knowledge: Considering relationships between originality, creativity, and innovation.
Frontline Learning Research, 3(3): 51-63.

Barnes, B., Williams, A. and Archer, S. (2010)

Characteristics that Matter Most: Doctoral Students' Perceptions of Positive and Negative Advisor Attributes.
NACADA Journal, 30(1): 34-46.

Carvajal, J., Garcia, M., Reguero, M. and Valverde, M (2017)

Introduction. in Reguero, M., Carvajal, J., Garcia, M., and Valverde, M. (eds.)
Good Practices in Doctoral Supervision: Reflections from the Tarragona Think Tank.

Universitat Rovira Virgili, arragona: 13-20. Available on-line at
<http://www.publicacions.urv.cat/cataleg/universitat-rovira-i-virgili/31-universitat-rovira-i-virgili/700-good-practices-in-doctoral-supervision-reflections-from-the-tarragona-think-tank>

Celik, K. (2013)

The Contribution of Supervisors to Doctoral Students in Doctoral Education: A Qualitative Study.
Creative Education, 4(1): 9-17.

Clara, M. (2019)

Supervising the Doctorate. In T.Machin, M Clara, and P. Danaher (eds):
Traversing the Doctorate: Reflections and Strategies from Students, Supervisors and Administrators.
e-Book, Palgrave Macmillan: 115-115.

Corcelles, M., Cano, M. Liesa, E., Gonzalez-Campo, G. and Castello, M. (2019)

Positive and negative experiences related to doctoral study conditions.
Higher Education Research and Development, DOI: 10.1080/07294360.2019.1605296.

Curtin, N., Malley, J. and Stewart, A. (2016)

Mentoring the Next Generation of Faculty: Supporting Academic Career Aspirations among Doctoral Students.

Research in Higher Education, 57: 714-738.

Dericks, G., Thompson, E., Roberts, M. and Phua, F. (2019)

Determinants of PhD students satisfaction: the roles of supervisors, departments and peer qualities.

Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 44(7): 1053-1068

Elmgren, M., Forsberg, E., Linberg-Sand, A., Sonesson, A. (2016)

The formation of doctoral education. Lund University, Lund.

Available on-line at <https://lup.lub.lu.se/search/publication/a18f564b-bce2-4934-b687-06f3148aae39>

Gonzalez-Ocampo, G. and Badia, M. (2019)

Research on Doctoral Supervision; What We Have Learnt in the Past Ten Years. In T.Machin, M Clara, and P. Danaher (eds): *Traversing the Doctorate: Reflections and Strategies from Students, Supervisors and Administrators.*

e-Book, Palgrave Macmillan: 117- 142.

Gonzalez-Ocampo, G. and Castello, M. (2019)

Supervisors were first students: Analysing supervisors' perceptions as doctoral students versus doctoral supervisors.

Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 56(6): 711- 725.

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available on- line at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Hill, G. and Vaughan, S. (2018)

Practitioner inquiry and provenance. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practices.*

Kiley, M. (2019)

Doctoral supervisor quality from the perspective of senior academic managers.

Australian Universities Review, 61(1): 12-14.

Kumar, S. and Johnson, M. (2019)

Mentoring doctoral students online: mentor strategies and challenges.

Mentoring and Tutoring: Partnership in Learning, 25(2): 202-222.

**Roach, A., Christensen, B. and Rieger, B. (2019)**

The Essential Ingredients of Research Supervision: A Discrete-Choice Experiment.

Journal of Educational Psychology, 111(7):1243-1260.

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Schneijderberg, C. (2019)

Supervision practices of doctoral education and training.

Studies in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/03075079.2019.1689384.

van Rooij, E., Fokkens-Bruinsma, M. and Jansen, E. (2019)

Factors that influence PhD candidates' success: the importance of PhD project characteristics.

Studies in Continuing Education, DOI 10.1080/0158037X.2019.1652158.

3 The Overall Context

3.1 Origins and Evolution of the Research Doctorate

Amaral, A., and Carvalho, T. (2020)

From the Mediaeval Dissertation to the Graduate School. in in S. Cardoso, S., O. Tavares, C. Sin, and T. Carvalho (Eds.) [*Structural and Institutional Transformations in Doctoral Education*](#).

Palgrave- Macmillan, e- publication: 143-173.

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Taylor, J. (2018)

The Impact of the First World War on British Universities.

London, Palgrave Macmillan.

3.2 Recent Developments in Doctoral Education

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available on- line at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Manathunga, C. (2019)

Timescapes in doctoral education: the politics of temporal equity in higher education.

Higher Education Research and Development.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1629880>.

Molla, T. and Cuthbert, D. (2019)

Calibrating the PhD for Industry 4.0: global concerns, national agendas and Australian institutional responses.

Policy Reviews in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/2332969.2019.1637772.

Ortega, S., and Kent, J. (2018)

What is a PhD? Reverse-Engineering Our Degree Programs in the Age of Evidence-Based Change.

The Magazine of Higher Learning, 50(1): 30-36.

Quality Assurance Agency (2018)

UK Quality Code for Higher Education: Advice and Guidance: Research Degrees.

Gloucester, Quality Assurance Agency.

3.3 Implications for Supervision**Carvajal, J., Garcia, M., Reguero, M. and Valverde, M (2017)**

Introduction. in Reguero, M., Carvajal, J., Garcia, M., and Valverde, M. (eds.) *Good Practices in Doctoral Supervision: Reflections from the Tarragona Think Tank*.

Universitat Rovira Virgili, arragona: 13-20. Available on-line at
<http://www.publicacions.urv.cat/cataleg/universitat-rovira-i-virgili/31-universitat-rovira-i-virgili/700-good-practices-in-doctoral-supervision-reflections-from-the-tarragona-think-tank>

Machin, T., Clara, M., Danaher, P. (2019)

Traversing the Doctorate: Situating Scholarship and Identifying Issues. In T.Machin, M Clara, and P. Danaher (eds): *Traversing the Doctorate: Reflections and Strategies from Students, Supervisors and Administrators*.

e-Book, Palgrave Macmillan: 1-14.

Manathunga, C. (2019)

Timescapes in doctoral education: the politics of temporal equity in higher education.

Higher Education Research and Development.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1629880>.



4 The Institutional Context

4.4 Professional Development of Supervisors

Bothwell, E. (2019)

Third of PhD students in Europe 'fail to complete'.

Times Higher Education, 17th January: 6-7.

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Wichmann-Hansen, G., Godskesen, M. and Kiley, M. (2019)

Successful development programs for experienced supervisors – What does it take?

International Journal for Academic Development, DOI

10.1080/1360144X.2019.1663352

5 The Discipline Context

5.2 Interdisciplinary Doctorates

Woiwode, H. and Froese, A. (2020)

Two hearts beating in a research center's chest: how scholars in interdisciplinary research settings cope with monodisciplinary deep structures.

Studies in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/03075079.2020.1716321.

6 The Programme Context

6.3 Structured Doctorates

Shan, H., Ayers, N. and Kiley, M. (2020)

A comparison between the conceptions of research of candidates enrolled for standard PhD and integrated PhD programmes.

Innovations in Education and Teaching International, DOI: 10/1080/14703297.2020.1734477.

6.4 Professional Doctorates

Proitz, T. and Wittek, L. (2019)

New directions in doctoral programmes: bridging tensions between theory and practice.

Teaching in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/13562517.2019.1577813

Robinson, C. (2018)

The landscape of professional doctorate provision in English higher education institutions: Inconsistencies, tensions, and unsustainability.

London Review of Education, 16(1): 90-103.

6.6 Doctorates by Publication

Jowsey, T., Carter, A. and Thompson, A. (2019)

Are doctoral theses with articles more popular than monographs? Supervisors and students in biological and health sciences weight up risks and benefits.

Higher Education Research and Development. DOI 10.1080/07294360.2019.1693517.

Mason, S., Merga, M. and Morris, J. (2019)

Typical scope and time commitment and research outputs of Thesis by Publication in Australia.

Higher Education Research and Development, DOI: 10.1080/07294360.2019.1674253.

O,Keefe, P. (2019)

PhD by Publication: innovative approach to social science research or operationalization of the doctoral student...or both?

Higher Education Research and Development, DOI 10: 1080/07294360.2019.1666258.

6.7 Collaborative Doctorates

Barnacle, R., Cuthbert, D., Schmidt, C. and Batty, C. (2020)

Vectors of knowledge exchange: the value of industry engagement to HASS PhDs.

Higher Education. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s1007/s10734-020-00528-z>

Economic and Social Research Council (ud)

Good Practice Guidelines for Managing and Monitoring Collaborative Studentships.

Available on-line at <https://esrc.ukri.org/files/collaboration/good-practice-guide-for-managing-and-monitoring-collaborative-studentships/>

Hogg, E., and Hardill, I. (2014)

Co-producing knowledge: reflections on the benefits and challenges of researching in partnerships with voluntary sector organizations.

Voluntary Sector Review. DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1332/204080514X14117195972298>.

Macmillan, R. and Scott, A. (2003)

On the Case? Dilemma of Collaborative Research.

Area, 35(1): 101-105.

Mills, D. and James, D. (2019)

Reconceptualising organisational collaborations in social science doctoral education.

Higher Education. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00438-9>.

Tavares, O., Sin, C., and Soares, D. (2020)

Building Bridges Between Industry and Academic: What is the Profile of an Industrial Doctorate Student? in S. Cardoso, S., O. Tavares, C. Sin, and T. Carvalho (Eds.) [*Structural and Institutional Transformations in Doctoral Education*](#).

Palgrave-Macmillan, e- publication: 347-373.

UK Research and Innovation (2019)

Studentship Outcomes Analysis Report.

Available on line at <https://www.ukri.org/files/funding/ukri-studentship-outcomes-analysis-report-2019/>

7 Recruitment and Selection

7.2 Candidate Selection

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

7.3 Supervisor Self-selection

Barnes, B., Williams, A. and Archer, S. (2010)

Characteristics that Matter Most: Doctoral Students' Perceptions of Positive and Negative Advisor Attributes.

NACADA Journal, 30(1): 34-46.

8 Professional Relationships – Candidates

8.1 The Pedagogy of Supervision

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

8.2 Establishing Expectations

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

8.4 Supervisor/Student Styles

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

8.5 Matching Supervisor Styles and Candidate Needs

Gruzdev, I., Terentev, E., and Dzhafareva, Z. (2019)

Superhero or hands-off supervisor? An empirical categorization of PhD supervision styles and student satisfaction in Russian universities.

Higher Education, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00437-w>

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

8.6 Maintaining the Relationship Over Time

McAlpine, L. and McKinnon, M. (2013)

Supervision - the most variable of variables: student perspectives.

Studies in Continuing Education, 35(3): 265-280.

8.8 Issues for Supervisors

Guccione, K. (2016)

Difficult Conversation Planner for Supervisors.

Available online at <https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/rs/ecr/mentoring/trustmeworkshop>

Guccione, K. (2017)

Reflection on a difficult supervision relationship for supervisors.

Available online at <https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/rs/ecr/mentoring/trustmeworkshop>

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

8.9 Meetings

Eshiaghi, N., Robertson, S. and Warren-Myers, G. (2012)

Good practice groundwork: Managing initial meetings with higher degree research students.

Education for Chemical Engineers, 7: 196-202.

9 Co-Supervision

9.1 Benefits of Co-Supervision

Bitzer, E. and Albertyn, R. (2011)

Alternative approaches to postgraduate supervision: A planning tool to facilitate supervisory processes.

South African Journal of Higher Education, 25(5): 25-38.

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

9.2 Issues in Co-Supervision

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

9.3 Managing Co-Supervision

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

10 Academic Guidance and Support

1) Approaching Research

10.2 Supporting the Development of Academic Integrity

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Osipian, A. (2019)

Let me write a dissertation for you: the micro-level cost benefit approach to doctoral degree fraud.

Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education. DOI 10.1080/03057925.2019.163801,

**Tudoroiu, T. (2017)**

No, Prime Minister: PhD Plagiarism of High Level Public Officials.
Academia Europaea 35(4): 623-641.

10.4 Policies for Authoring Publications with Doctoral Candidates**Grove, J. (2020)**

Order, order.
Times Higher Education, 30th January: 34-37.

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.
London, Routledge.

**11 Academic Guidance and Support
2) The Research Project****11.1 Advising on a Topic****Bothwell, E. (2019)**

'Clinical lack of support' for staff working overseas,
Times Higher Education. 3rd October: 6-7.

Hedges, M. (2019)

My UAE spy arrest shows universities must do more to protect academics working in the field.

The Conversation, October 14th. Available on-line at
<http://theconversation.com/matthew-hedges-my-uae-spy-arrest-shows-universities-must-do-more-to-protect-academics-working-in-the-field-115506>

Rothermel, J. (2019)

Doctoral student spends third year in Iranian jail.
University World News, 21st August. Available on-line at
<https://www.universityworldnews.com/post.php?story=20190821124151949>

Williamson, E., Gregory, A, Abrahams, H., Aghtake, N., Walker, S-J., and Hester, M. (2020)

Secondary Trauma: Emotional Safety in Sensitive Research.
Journal of Academic Ethics, 18(1): 55-70.

11.2 Assisting in Producing a Research Proposals

Marx, J. (2011)

A proposed checklist for assessing Master's and doctoral research proposals.
Progressio, 33(2): 30-50.

Maxwell, T. and Smyth, R. (2009)

Research supervision: the research management matrix.
Higher Education, 59: 407-422.

11.4 Supporting the Development of Skills Relating to the Project

Bautista, G. and Escofet, A. (2019)

Conceptual Review of Digital Competences for Doctoral Supervision. In T.Machin, M Clara, and P. Danaher (eds): *Traversing the Doctorate: Reflections and Strategies from Students, Supervisors and Administrators*.
e-Book, Palgrave Macmillan: 15-28.

11.5 Supporting Candidates to Overcome Academic Problems

Cisco, J. (2019)

Exploring the connection between imposter phenomenon and postgraduate students feeling academically unprepared.
Higher Education Research and Development, DOI 10.1080/07294360.2019.1676198.

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.
London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at
<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Virtanen, V., Taina, J. and Pyhalto, K. (2016)

What disengages doctoral students in the biological and environmental sciences from their doctoral studies?
Studies in Continuing Education, DOI: 10.1080/0158037X.2016.1250737.

11.6 Supervising Candidates in Groups

Bitzer, E. and Albertyn, R. (2011)

Alternative approaches to postgraduate supervision: A planning tool to facilitate supervisory processes.
South African Journal of Higher Education, 25(5): 25-38.

12 Writing and Feedback

12.1 Encouraging Candidates to Write Early and Often

Muniroh, S. (2019)

Maintaining Emotional Wellbeing for Doctoral Students: Indonesian Students' Mechanism of Thinking Out Loud. In L. Pretorius, L. Macaulay, and B. Cahusac de Caux (eds/) *Wellbeing in Doctoral Education: Insights and Guidance from the Student Experience*.

e-Book, Springer: 113-126.

12.2 Supporting Candidates to Develop their Academic Writing

Papen, U. and Theriault, V. (2018)

Writing retreats as a milestone in the development of PhD students' sense of self as academic writers.

Studies in Continuing Education. 40(2): 166-180.

12.3 Giving Timely and Constructive Feedback

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Wei, J., Carter, S. and Laurs, D. (2019)

Handling the loss of innocence: first-time exchange of writing and feedback.

Higher Education Research and Development, 38(1): 157-169.

Wilson, S. and Cutri, J. (2019)

Negotiating Isolation and Imposter Syndrome Through Writing as Product and as Process: The Impact of Collegiate Writing Networks During a Doctoral Programme. In L. Pretorius, L. Macaulay, and B. Cahusac de Caux (eds/) *Wellbeing in Doctoral Education: Insights and Guidance from the Student Experience*.

e-Book, Springer: 59-76.

14 Personal, Professional, Academic and Career Support

14.1 Personal Support for Candidates

Barnes, B., Williams, A. and Archer, S. (2010)

Characteristics that Matter Most: Doctoral Students' Perceptions of Positive and Negative Advisor Attributes.
NACADA Journal, 30(1): 34-46.

Cornwall, J., Mayland, E., van der Meer, J., Spronken-Smith, R., Tustin, C. (2018)

Stressors in early-stage doctoral students.
Studies in Continuing Education. DOI:10.1080/01580377777X.2018.1534821.

14.2 Supporting Candidates to Network

Frick, L., Albertyn, R., Brodin, E., McKenna, S. and Claessm, S. (2017)

The role of doctoral education in early career academic development. in M. Fourie- Malherbe, C. Aitchison, E. Blitzer and R. Albertyn (eds.) *Postgraduate Supervision: Future foci for the knowledge society*.
Stellenbosch, Sun Press: 203-219.

Machin, T. and Parsons-Smith, R. (2019)

Experiencing the Journey Together: The Role of Social Support During the Doctorate.). In T.Machin, M Clara, and P. Danaher (eds): *Traversing the Doctorate: Reflections and Strategies from Students, Supervisors and Administrators*.
e-Book, Palgrave Macmillan: 269- 287.

14.4 Supporting Candidates to Publish their Research

Frick, L., Albertyn, R., Brodin, E., McKenna, S. and Claessm, S. (2017)

The role of doctoral education in early career academic development. in M. Fourie- Malherbe, C. Aitchison, E. Blitzer and R. Albertyn (eds.) *Postgraduate Supervision: Future foci for the knowledge society*.
Stellenbosch, Sun Press: 203-219.

Mayo, N. (2019)

Sociologists must publish twice as much as before to get first job.
Times Higher Education, 11th April: 12-13.

Xu, L. and Grant, B. (2020)

Doctoral publishing and academic identity work: two cases.
Higher Education Research and Development. DOI: 10.1080/07394360.2020.178522.

14.5 Supporting Candidates to Teach

Frick, L., Albertyn, R., Brodin, E., McKenna, S. and Claessm, S. (2017)

The role of doctoral education in early career academic development. in M. Fourie- Malherbe, C. Aitchison, E. Blitzer and R. Albertyn (eds.) *Postgraduate Supervision: Future foci for the knowledge society*. Stellenbosch, Sun Press: 203-219.

Hill, L., Austin, A., Bantawa, B, and Savoy, J. (2019)

Factors of success: building and sustaining teaching professional development opportunities for doctoral students and postdocs. *Higher Education Research and Development*. DOI 10.1080/07294360.2019.1616677.

14.6 Supporting Candidates to Prepare for Academic Careers

Barnes, B., Williams, A. and Archer, S. (2010)

Characteristics that Matter Most: Doctoral Students' Perceptions of Positive and Negative Advisor Attributes. *NACADA Journal*, 30(1): 34-46.

Frick, L., Albertyn, R., Brodin, E., McKenna, S. and Claessm, S. (2017)

The role of doctoral education in early career academic development. in M. Fourie- Malherbe, C. Aitchison, E. Blitzer and R. Albertyn (eds.) *Postgraduate Supervision: Future foci for the knowledge society*. Stellenbosch, Sun Press: 203-219.

Ortega, S., and Kent, J. (2018)

What is a PhD? Reverse-Engineering Our Degree Programs in the Age of Evidence-Based Change. *The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 50(1): 30-36.

14.7 Supporting Candidates to Prepare for Careers Outside Academia

Germain-Alamartine, E., Ahoba-Sam, R., Moghadam, Saman, S., and Evers, G. (2020)

Doctoral graduates' transition to industry: Cases from Norway, Sweden and the UK. *Studies in Higher Education*. DOI 10.1080.03075079.2020.1754783.

Molla, T. and Cuthbert, D. (2019)

Calibrating the PhD for Industry 4.0: global concerns, national agendas and Australian institutional responses. *Policy Reviews in Higher Education*, DOI: 10.1080/2332969.2019.1637772.

**Ortega, S., and Kent, J. (2018)**

What is a PhD? Reverse-Engineering Our Degree Programs in the Age of Evidence-Based Change.

The Magazine of Higher Learning, 50(1): 30-36.

Skakni, I., del Carmen Calatrave Morena, M., Corcelles Seuba, M. and McAlpine, L (2019)

Hanging rough: post-PhD researchers dealing with career uncertainty.

Higher Education Research and Development, DOI: 10.1080/07294360. 2019.1657806.

Ward, D. (2019)

My internship is training me for life after the PhD.

Times Higher Education, 4th April: 34.

15 Diversity – Domestic Candidates

15.3 Gender

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Utami, A. (2019)

Walking a Tightrope: Juggling Competing Demands as a PhD Student and a Mother. In L. Pretorius, L. Macaulay, and B. Cahusac de Caux (eds/) *Wellbeing in Doctoral Education: Insights and Guidance from the Student Experience*.

e-Book, Springer: 77-92.

15.4 Ethnicity/Race

Arday, J. (2017)

Exploring black and minority ethnic (BME) doctoral students' perceptions of an academic career.

London, University and College Union. Available on-line at

https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/8633/BME-doctoral-students-perceptions-of-an-academic-career/pdf/JA_BME_doc_students_report_Jun17.pdf

Okahama, H., Klein, C., Allum, J. and Sowell, R. (2018)

STEM Doctoral Completion of Underrepresented Minority Students: Challenges and Opportunities for Improving Participation in the Doctoral Workforce.

Innovative Higher Education, 32: 237-255.

Poku, C. (2019)

Seek out support and share your experiences.
Times Higher Education, 19/26th December:18.

15.5 Sexuality**English, R. and Fenby-Hulse, K. (2019)**

Documenting diversity: The experiences of LGBTQ+ doctoral researchers in the UK.

International Journal of Doctoral Studies, 14: 403-430.

15.6 Age**Robertson, M. (2019)**

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.
London, Routledge.

15.7 Disability**Guccione, K. (2018)**

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

15.8 Indigeneity**Kiley, M. (2017)**

Reflections on change in doctoral education: an Australian case study.
Studies in Graduate and Postdoctoral Education, 8(2): 78-87.

16 Diversity – International Candidates**16.1 International Diversification of the Candidate Population****Bonccorsi, A. and Biancardi, D. (2019)**

Internationalisation of Academic Staff in European Higher Education.

European Tertiary Education Register, Analytical Report No 1. Available online at

<https://www.eter-project.com/#/analytical-reports>

**Elliot, D. and Kobayashi, S. (2018)**

How can PhD supervisors play a role in bridging academic cultures?
Teaching in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/13562517.2018.1517305

16.3 Supporting International Candidates to Overcome Challenges**Augustin, D. (2019)**

Learning Through Critique: Intercultural Awareness in Student-Supervisor Feedback Practices. In L. Pretorius, L. Macaulay, and B. Cahusac de Caux (eds/) *Wellbeing in Doctoral Education: Insights and Guidance from the Student Experience*.
e-Book, Springer: 251-264.

Elliot, D. and Kobayashi, S. (2018)

How can PhD supervisors play a role in bridging academic cultures?
Teaching in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/13562517.2018.1517305

17 Modes of Study**17.1 Supporting Candidates Studying Part-time****Bates, P. and Goff, L. (2012)**

The Invisible Student: Benefits and Challenges of Part-time Doctoral Studies.
Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 38(3): 368- 380.

Evans, T. (2010)

Supervising part-time doctoral students. In M. Walker and P. Thomson (eds.)
The Routledge Doctoral Supervisor's Companion; London, Routledge, 131-137.

McCulloch, A. and Stokes, P. (2007)

The Silent Majority: Meeting the Needs of Part-time Research Students. Issues in Postgraduate Education: Management, Teaching and Supervision.
Series 2, No. 5. London, Society for Research into Higher Education.

Watts, J. (2010)

Supervising part-time doctoral students: issues and challenges. in M. Walker and P. Thomson (eds.)
The Routledge Doctoral Supervisor's Companion; London, Routledge, 123-130.

Wisker, G. (2012)

The Good Supervisor. 2nd Ed.
Houndsmills, Palgrave-Macmillan.



17.2 Supporting Candidates Studying at a Distance

Byrnes, D., Uribe-Florez, L, Trespalacios, J. and Chilson, J. (2019)

Doctoral e-mentoring: Current practices and Effective Strategies.

Online learning, 23(1): 235-248. doi:10.24509/olj.v23i1.1446.

Frederick, H., Zaikina-Montgomery, Rasmussen, H. and Slaya, L. (2016)

Doctor of Philosophy Degree Programs. In S. Danver (ed.) *The Sage Encyclopedia of Online Education*.

Thousand Oaks, Sage: 361-366.

Huet, I. and Casanova, D. (2020)

Exploring the professional development of online and distance doctoral supervisors.

Innovations in Education and Teaching International. DOI: 10.1080/14703297.2020.1742764.

Kumar, S. and Johnson, M. (2019)

Mentoring doctoral students online: mentor strategies and challenges.

Mentoring and Tutoring: Partnership in Learning, 25(2): 202-222.

Kumar, S., Johnson, M., Dogan, N. and Coe, C. (2019)

A Framework for E-Mentoring in Doctoral Education. In K.N.Sim (ed.) *Enhancing the Role of ICT in Doctoral Research Processes*.

Hershey, PA: IGI Global: 183-207.

Lee, L. (2016)

How challenging is distance postgraduate research supervision?

Think Ahead Blog, University of Sheffield. Available on-line at

<https://thinkaheadsheffield.wordpress.com/2016/04/18/how-challenging-is-distance-postgraduate-research-supervision/>

Roumell, A, and Bolliger, D. (2017)

Experiences of Faculty with Doctoral Student Supervision in Programs Delivered via Distance.

The Journal of Continuing Higher Education. 65(2): 82-93. <https://doi-org.ezphost.dur.ac.uk/10.1080/07377363.2017.1320179>

18 Drafting and Submission

18.2 Evaluating and Certifying Submissions

British Psychological Society (2017)

Guidelines for the Assessment of the PhD in Psychology and Related Disciplines.

Available on-line at <https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/guidelines-assessment-phd-psychology-and-related-disciplines>

19 Examination

19.1 Systems for Examination

Erwee, R. and Perry, C. (2018)

Examination of Doctoral Theses: Research About the Process and Proposed Procedures. in Padro, F., Erwee, R., Harmes, M., and Danaher, P. (eds) Postgraduate Education in Higher Education.

Singapore, Springer: 2-14.

19.2 Advising on Examiners

British Psychological Society (2017)

Guidelines for the Assessment of the PhD in Psychology and Related Disciplines.

Available on-line at <https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/guidelines-assessment-phd-psychology-and-related-disciplines>

Erwee, R. and Perry, C. (2018)

Examination of Doctoral Theses: Research About the Process and Proposed Procedures. in Padro, F., Erwee, R., Harmes, M., and Danaher, P. (eds) Postgraduate Education in Higher Education.

Singapore, Springer: 2-14.

19.4 Examining

British Psychological Society (2017)

Guidelines for the Assessment of the PhD in Psychology and Related Disciplines.

Available on-line at <https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/guidelines-assessment-phd-psychology-and-related-disciplines>

**Erwee, R. and Perry, C. (2018)**

Examination of Doctoral Theses: Research About the Process and Proposed Procedures. in Padro, F., Erwee, R., Harmes, M., and Danaher, P. (eds) Postgraduate Education in Higher Education.

Singapore, Springer: 2-14.

20 Evaluation, Reflection, Dissemination and Reward

Armstrong, T. and Smith, R. (2019)

Co-operative analysis using video data. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 17-18

Bridger, E. (2019)

Using the Reportory Grid to elicit the implicit. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 26-27

Carruthers Thomas, K. (2019)

Investigating and reporting research supervision through a graphic essay. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 24-25

Cooper, S. (2019)

A strengths based inquiry of research supervision. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 13-14.

French, A. (2019)

Discourse analysis of academic writing. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 9-10.

Guccione, K. (2018)

Trust Me! Building and breaking professional trust in doctoral student supervisor relations.

London, Leadership Foundation. Available online at

<https://www.lfhe.ac.uk/en/components/publication.cfm/SDP2016Sheffield>

Hill, G. (2019)

Time and motion analysis. Armstrong, In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 19-21

**Hill, G. and Vaughan, S. (2019)**

Practitioner inquiry and provenance. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practices*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 8 Hill,

Nassem, E. (2019)

Reflective practice adopting a Foucauldian lens. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 15-16.

Robertson, M. (2019)

Power and Doctoral Supervision Teams.

London, Routledge.

Vaughan, S. (2019)

Thinking through images and metaphors. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 22-23

Wall, T. and Raine, S. (2019)

Co-operative inquiry between research student and their supervisor. In G. Hill and S. Vaughan (eds.) *Ten Ways to Investigate Research Supervision Practice*.

SEDA Special, 43: London, Staff and Educational Development Association: 11-12.

21 The Future of the Doctorate

Molla, T. and Cuthbert, D. (2019)

Calibrating the PhD for Industry 4.0: global concerns, national agendas and Australian institutional responses.

Policy Reviews in Higher Education, DOI: 10.1080/2332969.2019.1637772.

Sharmini, S., and Spronken-Smith, R. (2019)

The PhD – is it out of alignment?

Higher Education Research and Development. DOI: 10.1080/07294360.2019.1693514.



RESEARCH
SUPERVISION
RECOGNITION
PROGRAMME
UK Council for Graduate Education

The **Research Supervision Recognition Programme** is a professional development toolkit that includes the Good Supervisory Practice Framework.

Download the **Research Supervision Recognition Programme application pack**, the guide to self-reflection, and find out more about **applying for recognition** of supervisory practice at:

<https://supervision.ukcge.ac.uk>



UK Council for
Graduate Education

UK Council for Graduate Education

Lichfield Centre

The Friary

Lichfield

Staffordshire

WS13 6QG

UK www.ukcge.ac.uk

Tel: 01543 308602

Email: ukcge@ukcge.ac.uk